



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/513,543

02/25/2000

Breck W. Lovinggood

ANCO-18US/119

6671

26875

7590

03/10/2004

WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP  
2700 CAREW TOWER  
441 VINE STREET  
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

EXAMINER

VUONG, QUOCHIE B

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2685

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/513,543

Applicant(s)

LOVINGGOOD ET AL.

Examiner

Quochien B Vuong

Art Unit

2685

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 February 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-47 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 05/30/00 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

### ***Specification***

2. Claims 28 and 29 are objected to because of the following informalities: the phrase "the down **zconverter**" in claim 28, should be --the down converter--.

Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Art Unit: 2685

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 36 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Karabinis (US 5,937,332).

Regarding claims 36 and 42, Karabinis (figures 2-5A) discloses a repeater and a method of retransmitting a satellite signal inside a structure, the method comprising: receiving the satellite signal (figures 2-3; column (column 5, lines 6-9, and 55-57); amplifying the satellite signal to produce a second satellite signal (column 5, lines 9-12, and 59-63); and retransmitting the second satellite signal inside the structure (figure 5A; column 7, lines 12-28).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 2685

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1, 6, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karabinis (US 5,937,332) in view of Suemitsu (US 5,628,049).

Regarding claims 1 and 16, Karabinis (figures 2-5A) discloses satellite repeater and a method of retransmitting a satellite signal inside a structure, comprising: receiving the satellite signal (figures 2-3; column (column 5, lines 6-9, and 55-57); amplifying the satellite signal to produce a second satellite signal (column 5, lines 9-12, and 59-63); and retransmitting the second satellite signal inside the structure (figure 5A; column 7, lines 12-28). Karabinis does not specifically disclose the satellite signal is a GPS signal. However, Suemitsu disclose retransmitting a satellite signal including a GPS signal (column 12, lines 56-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the teaching of retransmitting the GPS of Suemitsu to the method of Karabinis for providing the location information to a mobile communication device inside the structure.

Regarding claim 6, Karabinis and Suemitsu disclose the method of claim 1; in addition, Karabinis discloses filtering the GPS signal (figures 3 and 4; column 5, lines 57-58).

7. Claims 2, 3, 10-13, 17, 18, 21-24, 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karabinis in view of Suemitsu and further in view of Kawano et al. (US 5,661,788).

Regarding claims 2 and 17, Karabinis and Suemitsu disclose the method and repeater of claims 1 and 16, respectively; in addition, Karabinis disclose amplifying and filtering the GPS signal (column 5, lines 57-63); and Suemitsu discloses converting the GPS signal to an intermediate frequency (IF) signal, amplifying and filtering the IF signal, and up converting the IF signal to produce a radio frequency (RF) signal (see figure 10). Karabinis and Suemitsu do not specifically disclose amplifying and filtering the IF signal. However, Kawano et al. disclose amplifying of RF signal includes down converting the RF signal to an IF signal, amplifying and filtering the IF signal, and up converting the IF signal back to RF signal (figure 6; column 6, line 62 – column 7, line 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the teaching of Kawano et al. to the method of Karabinis and Suemitsu for amplifying and filtering the IF signal to obtain high amplification gain with low relative cost component.

Regarding claims 3 and 18, Karabinis disclose the RF signal is the second GPS signal (column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 10).

Regarding claims 10 and 27, Karabinis (figures 2-5A) discloses a satellite repeater and a method of retransmitting a satellite signal inside a structure, the method comprising: receiving the satellite signal (figures 2-3; column (column 5, lines 6-9, and 55-57); amplifying the satellite signal to produce a second satellite signal (column 5, lines 9-12, and 59-63); and retransmitting the second satellite signal inside the structure (figure 5A; column 7, lines 12-28). Karabinis do not specifically disclose the satellite signal is a GPS signal; down converting the satellite signal to an IF signal; amplifying

Art Unit: 2685

and filtering the IF signal; and up converting the IF signal to produce an RF signal.

However, Suemitsu disclose retransmitting a satellite signal including a GPS signal (column 12, lines 56-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the teaching of retransmitting the GPS of Suemitsu to the method of Karabinis for providing the location information to a mobile communication device inside the structure. The combination of Karabinis and Suemitsu does not specifically disclose amplifying and filtering the IF signal. However, Kawano et al. disclose amplifying of RF signal includes down converting the RF signal to an IF signal, amplifying and filtering the IF signal, and up converting the IF signal back to RF signal (figure 6; column 6, line 62 – column 7, line 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the teaching of Kawano et al. to the method of Karabinis and Suemitsu for amplifying and filtering the IF signal to obtain high amplification gain with low relative cost component.

Regarding claim 11, Karabinis discloses the receiving is performed by a primary repeater (see figure 3, repeater 220).

Regarding claims 12 and 13, Karabinis discloses the primary repeater is coupled to an internal antenna by a transmission line, wherein the internal antenna performs the retransmitting (see figure 3, repeater 220, and antenna 290).

Regarding claim 21, Karabinis further discloses the amplifier includes a down converter for down converting the GPS signal to an intermediate frequency (IF) signal, a first amplifier for amplifying the IF signal, a filter for filtering the IF signal, a second

amplifier for amplifying the IF signal and an up converter for up converting the IF signal to produce the second GPS signal (see figure 3).

Regarding claims 22 and 30, Karabinis discloses the amplifier includes a filter for filtering the GPS signal (figure 3).

Regarding claims 23, 24, the rejection are the same as in claims 17, 18, and 20 above, wherein figure 5A of Karabinis discloses a primary repeater (210), and a secondary repeater (220).

Regarding claim 26, Karabinis discloses a secondary repeater (220 having a second link antenna for receiving the unlicensed frequency signal, a second down converter for down converting the unlicensed frequency signal to a second IF signal, a second amplifier for amplifying the second IF signal, a second up converter for up converting the second IF signal to a second GPS signal, and a second broadcast antenna for retransmitting the second GPS signal inside the structure (figure 6; column 6, line 62 – column 7, line 5).

Regarding claims 28 and 29, Karabinis discloses a housing containing the down converter, the amplifier and the up converter, the link antenna being connected to the housing by a transmission line; wherein the broadcast antenna is connected to the housing by a transmission line (see figure 3, repeater 220, and antenna 290).

8. Claims 4, 5, 7-9, 14-15, 19-20, 25, 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karabinis in view of Suemitsu and Kawano et al., and further in view of Karabinis et al. (US 6,134,437).

Regarding claims 4, 19, and 25, Karabinis, Suemitsu, and Kawano et al disclose the method and GPS repeater of claims 2, 17, and 23, respectively. Karabinis, Suemitsu, and Kawano et al. do not disclose the RF signal is an unlicensed frequency signal. However, Karabinis et al. disclose retransmitting satellite signal using an unlicensed frequency signal (a short-range, low-power microwave link) (column 5, lines 35-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt unlicensed frequency signal of Karabinis et al. to the method of Karabinis, Suemitsu, and Kawano et al. to avoid signal interference and regulation by local government as suggested by Karabinis et al. (column 5, lines 55-62).

Regarding claims 5 and 20, Karabinis discloses retransmitting the unlicensed frequency signal inside the structure (see figure 5A, signal from 210 to 220); and Kawano et al. disclose receiving the unlicensed frequency signal, down converting the unlicensed frequency signal to a second IF signal, amplifying the second IF signal, up converting the second IF signal to produce the second GPS signal (figure 6; column 6, line 62 – column 7, line 5).

Regarding claims 7, Karabinis (figures 2-5A) discloses a method of retransmitting a satellite signal inside a structure, the method comprising: receiving the satellite signal (figures 2-3; column (column 5, lines 6-9, and 55-57); amplifying and filtering the satellite signal to produce satellite signal (column 5, lines 9-12, and 57-63); and retransmitting the satellite signal inside the structure (figure 5A; column 7, lines 12-28). Karabinis do not specifically disclose the satellite signal is a GPS signal; down

Art Unit: 2685

converting the satellite signal to an IF signal; amplifying and filtering the IF signal; and up converting the IF signal to produce an RF signal. However, Suemitsu disclose retransmitting a satellite signal including a GPS signal (column 12, lines 56-63).

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the teaching of retransmitting the GPS of Suemitsu to the method of Karabinis for providing the location information to a mobile communication device inside the structure. The combination of Karabinis and Suemitsu does not specifically disclose amplifying and filtering the IF signal. However, Kawano et al. disclose amplifying of RF signal includes down converting the RF signal to an IF signal, amplifying and filtering the IF signal, and up converting the IF signal back to RF signal (figure 6; column 6, line 62 – column 7, line 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the teaching of Kawano et al. to the method of Karabinis and Suemitsu for amplifying and filtering the IF signal to obtain high amplification gain with low relative cost component. The combination of Karabinis, Suemitsu, and Kawano et al. does not disclose the RF signal is an unlicensed frequency signal. However, Karabinis et al. disclose retransmitting satellite signal using an unlicensed frequency signal (a short-range, low-power microwave link) (column 5, lines 35-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt unlicensed frequency signal of Karabinis et al. to the method of Karabinis, Suemitsu, and Kawano et al. to avoid signal interference and regulation by local government as suggested by Karabinis et al. (column 5, lines 55-62).

Regarding claim 8, Karabinis discloses the retransmitting the unlicensed frequency signal is between a primary repeater and the link antenna of a secondary repeater (figure 5A, signal from primary repeater 210 to a secondary repeater 220).

Regarding claim 9, Karabinis, Suemitsu, Kawano et al., and Karabinis disclose the method of claim 7; in addition, Karabinis discloses retransmitting the unlicensed frequency signal inside the structure (see figure 5A, signal from 210 to 220); and Kawano et al. disclose receiving the unlicensed frequency signal, down converting the unlicensed frequency signal to a second IF signal, amplifying the second IF signal, up converting the second IF signal to produce the second GPS signal (figure 6; column 6, line 62 – column 7, line 5).

Regarding claim 14, Karabinis (figures 2-5A) discloses a method of retransmitting a satellite signal inside a structure, the method comprising: receiving the satellite signal (figures 2-3; column (column 5, lines 6-9, and 55-57); amplifying and filtering the satellite signal to produce satellite signal (column 5, lines 9-12, and 57-63); and retransmitting the satellite signal inside the structure (figure 5A; column 7, lines 12-28); receiving the satellite signal and retransmitting the satellite signal (see figure 5A, signal from 210 to 220). Karabinis do not specifically disclose the satellite signal is a GPS signal; down converting the satellite signal to an IF signal; amplifying and filtering the IF signal; and up converting the IF signal to produce an unlicensed frequency signal. However, Suemitsu disclose retransmitting a satellite signal including a GPS signal (column 12, lines 56-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the teaching of retransmitting

Art Unit: 2685

the GPS of Suemitsu to the method of Karabinis for providing the location information to a mobile communication device inside the structure. The combination of Karabinis and Suemitsu does not specifically disclose amplifying and filtering the IF signal; and up converting the IF signal to produce an unlicensed frequency signal. However, Kawano et al. disclose down converting the RF signal to an IF signal, amplifying and filtering the IF signal, and up converting the IF signal back to RF signal (figure 6; column 6, line 62 – column 7, line 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the teaching of Kawano et al. to the method of Karabinis and Suemitsu to obtain high amplification gain with low relative cost component. The combination of Karabinis, Suemitsu, and Kawano et al. does not disclose the RF signal is an unlicensed frequency signal. However, Karabinis et al. disclose retransmitting satellite signal using an unlicensed frequency signal (a short-range, low-power microwave link) (column 5, lines 35-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt unlicensed frequency signal of Karabinis et al. to the method of Karabinis, Suemitsu, and Kawano et al. to avoid signal interference and regulation by local government as suggested by Karabinis et al. (column 5, lines 55-62).

Regarding claim 15, Karabinis discloses the retransmitting the unlicensed frequency signal is between a primary repeater and the link antenna of a secondary repeater (figure 5A, signal from primary repeater 210 to secondary repeater 220).

Regarding claim 30, the rejection is the same as in claim 14 above, wherein figure 5A of Karabinis discloses a primary repeater (210), and a secondary repeater (220).

Regarding claims 31-35, the combination Karabinis, Suemitsu, Kawano et al., and Karabinis et al. does not specifically disclose the unlicensed frequency signal is about 2.4 GHz, 902-928 MHz, 1.5 GHz, or 140-160 MHz. However, the examiner takes Official notice that it is well known to use the unlicensed frequency signal about 2.4 GHz, 902-928 MHz, 1.5 GHz, or 140-160 MHz. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the well known unlicensed frequencies to the repeater system of Karabinis, Suemitsu, Kawano et al., and Karabinis et al. for use with standard equipment.

9. Claims 37, 38, 43, and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karabinis (US 5,937,332) in view of Suemitsu (US 5,628,049).

Regarding claims 37 and 43, Karabinis and Suemitsu disclose the method and repeater of claims 36 and 42, respectively; in addition, Karabinis disclose amplifying and filtering the satellite signal (column 5, lines 57-63). Karabinis does not specifically disclose amplifying of the satellite signal includes down converting the satellite signal to an IF signal, amplifying and filtering the IF signal, and up converting the IF signal to produce an RF signal. However, Kawano et al. disclose amplifying of an RF signal includes down converting the RF signal to an IF signal, amplifying and filtering the IF signal, and up converting the IF signal to RF signal (figure 6; column 6, line 62 – column

Art Unit: 2685

7, line 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the teaching of Kawano et al. to the repeater and method of Karabinis for amplifying and filtering the IF signal to obtain high amplification gain with low relative cost component.

Regarding claims 38 and 44, Karabinis disclose the RF signal is the second satellite signal (column 5, line 55 – column 6, line 10).

10. Claims 39, 40, 45, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karabinis in view of Kawano et al., and further in view of Karabinis et al. (US 6,134,437).

Regarding claims 39 and 45, Karabinis and Kawano et al disclose the method and repeater of claims 36 and 42, respectively. Karabinis and Kawano et al. do not disclose the RF signal is an unlicensed frequency signal. However, Karabinis et al. disclose retransmitting satellite signal using an unlicensed frequency signal (a short-range, low-power microwave link) (column 5, lines 35-62). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt unlicensed frequency signal of Karabinis et al. to the method of Karabinis and Kawano et al. to avoid signal interference and regulation by local government as suggested by Karabinis et al. (column 5, lines 55-62).

Regarding claims 40 and 46, Karabinis discloses retransmitting the unlicensed frequency signal inside the structure (see figure 5A, signal from 210 to 220); and Kawano et al. disclose receiving the unlicensed frequency signal, down converting the

Art Unit: 2685

unlicensed frequency signal to a second IF signal, amplifying the second IF signal, up converting the second IF signal to produce the second satellite signal (figure 6; column 6, line 62 – column 7, line 5).

11. Claims 41 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karabinis.

Regarding claims 41 and 47, Karabinis and Kawano et al disclose the method and repeater of claims 36 and 42, respectively. Karabinis does not disclose the satellite signal is a digital radio signal. However, examiner takes Official notice that it is well known to use a digital radio signal in satellite communication. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to adapt the well known digital radio signal to satellite signal in the method and repeater of Karabinis for reducing signal interference and providing higher bandwidth.

### ***Conclusion***

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cook et al. (US 6,052,558) disclose a networked repeater.

13. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

**or faxed to:**

(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021  
Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Quochien B. Vuong whose telephone number is (703) 306-4530. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached on (703) 305-4385.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.



**QUOCHIE B. VUONG  
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Quochien B. Vuong  
Mar. 02, 2004.